

However, by serving her evidence as Unused Material, the Prosecution are not precluded from serving a Notice of Additional Evidence if Prosecuting Counsel takes the view that this is appropriate.

The statement of Maureen PIPER has been served because, as I understand the position, the Police believe that she is mistaken with regard to seeing Paula GILFOYLE in the Post Office and that it was, indeed, Paula GILFOYLE'S sister, namely, Susan Margaret DUBOST, who was in the Post Office at approximately the time referred to on 4th June 1992 by Maureen PIPER. Accordingly, it appears that PIPER is mistaken with regard to her recollection.

A number of additional points need to be dealt with, if possible, including:-

- 1) The witness Paul John CADDICK hands over a number of documents to Detective Inspector FITZSIMMONS. If he is willing to make an additional statement (which I understand is unlikely at this stage) he should confirm the reference numbers of the documents handed over to the Detective Inspector in order to ensure the continuity.
- 2) Mr GILLETT, the Pathologist who carried out the first post-mortem on Paula GILFOYLE, should make an additional statement, dealing with his findings. I note that Dr BURNS refers to having seen Mr GILLETT'S Report prior to his conducting the second post-mortem and, given those circumstances, for the sake of completeness, it would be appropriate for the details of the first post-mortem to be disclosed.
- 3) James McNEIL, the Laboratory Technician refers to Dr GILLETT taking two samples of blood on 5 June 1992 (reference MBG.1 and MBG.2) which he subsequently handed to Detective Sergeant LANCASTER-SMITH.
- 4) For the sake of continuity it would probably be appropriate for this officer to provide a statement confirming same.
- 5) David Charles MALLION in his second statement refers to September 1992, which I presume should, in fact, be September 1991 re the changing of the locks at 6 Grafton Drive. An additional statement would be appropriate to clarify the same, together with confirming that he was taken into one of the Interview Rooms during the course of the interview with GILFOYLE.

He also refers to attending the wedding of the GILFOYLES in June 1988. As I understand the position, the GILFOYLES were married on 10 June 1989.
- 6) Sandra DAVIES in her second statement refers to being shown a letter by D C PHILLIPS which she identifies as the letter shown to her by the Defendant in April

14/10
As L-SMITH
should be
shown to
with PHILLIPS
OBTAIN.